1 MARCUS J. BRADLEY, Bar No. 174156 mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com 2 KILEY L. GROMBACHER, Bar No. 245960 kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com 3 BRADLEY/GROMBACHER, LLP 2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 130 Westlake Village, CA 91361 4 Phone: (805) 270-7100 (805) 270-7589 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 PABLO MANANDEG 7 BARBARA A. BLACKBURN, Bar No. 253731 8 bblackburn@littler.com BRITNEY N. TORRES, Bar No. 287019 btorres@littler.com 9 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2000 10 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: 916.830.7200 11 Fax No.: 916.561.0828 12 Attorneys for Defendant HARVEST MEAT COMPANY, INC. 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 15 16 PABLO MANANDEG, an individual, on him own behalf and on behalf of all other 17 similarly situated, Plaintiffs, 18

HARVEST MEAT COMPANY, INC., a Delaware corporation,; and DOES 1

Defendant.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

through 100, inclusive,



Case No. 34-2018-00225970

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND **JUDGMENT**



[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court on December 11, 2019 for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement Payments. Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's August 21, 2019 Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein, and having received no objections to the settlement, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Notice Packet fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to request exclusion or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In

particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Plaintiff's counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the Settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. For purposes of this Order and Judgment, the Class is defined as follows: All employees who were or are employed by Defendant Harvest Meat Company, Inc. in California as non-exempt Truck Drivers from January 23, 2014 through the date of Preliminary Approval of this Settlement.
- 10. Plaintiff is a suitable representative and is hereby appointed as representative for the Class. The Court finds that Plaintiff's investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Class, and that his interests are aligned with those of the Class.

2.

- 11. The Court hereby awards a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of \$10,000.00 to the named Plaintiff.
- 12. The Court finds that Bradley/Grombacher, LLP has the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court appoints Bradley/Grombacher, LLP as Class Counsel.
- 13. The Court hereby awards attorneys' fees totaling \$206,667.67 and litigation costs and expenses totaling \$10,429.68 to Class Counsel. The Court finds that the requested award is reasonable. Class Counsel has also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via its lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the hourly rates are reasonable and consistent with the prevailing market rates of the community for comparable work. Additionally, evidence submitted by Class Counsel also demonstrates that the requested costs and expenses of \$10,106.68 are fair and reasonable.
 - 14. The Court approves claims administration expenses in the amount of \$7,982.25.
- 15. The Court approves that any funds represented by Individual Settlement Payment checks returned as undeliverable and Individual Settlement Payment checks remaining un-cashed for more than one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days after issuance will escheat to the State of California consistent with California's unclaimed property law.
- 16. The Settlement terms shall be effected pursuant to the implementation schedule set forth in the Settlement and consistent with the Preliminary Approval Order, and no payments pursuant to the Settlement shall be distributed until after the Effective Date.
- Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. All Class Members who did not timely submit a Request for Exclusion to be excluded from the Settlement are barred from pursuing, or seeking to reopen, any of the Released Claims, as defined in the Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order shall be forever binding on all Class Members who did not timely and properly exclude themselves from the settlement. These Settlement Class Members have released and forever

discharged Harvest Meat Company, Inc. and the Released Parties for any and all Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. There are no persons who have excluded themselves from the Class.

- 18. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Judgment, the Court retains jurisdiction over all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this Order and the Settlement.
- 19. The Court hereby enters final Judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
 - 20. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.
- 21. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by the Settlement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- 22. The Settlement is not an admission by Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released Parties) nor is this Final Approval Order and Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released Parties). Furthermore, the Settlement is not a concession by Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released Parties) and shall not be used as an admission of any fault, omission, or wrongdoing by Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released Parties). Neither this Final Approval Order and Judgment, Settlement, any document referred to herein, any exhibit to any document referred to herein, any action taken to carry out the Settlement, nor any negotiations or proceedings related to the Settlement are to be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession with regard to, the denials or defenses of Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released Parties), and shall not be offered in evidence in any proceeding against the Parties hereto in any Court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment. This Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement and exhibits thereto, and any other papers and records on file in the Action may be filed in this Court or in any other litigation as evidence of the settlement by Harvest Meat Company, Inc. (or any Released

24

25

26

27

Parties) to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims.

23. This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

Dated: December 11, 2019

FIRMWIDE:165732451.1 096801.1001

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR

Alan G. Perkins